

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ph

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DÔCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/750,475 12/28/2000		Lynh Nguyen	ST9-99-134US3	7832		
23373 SUGHRUF MI	7590 01/29/2007	EXAMINER				
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			CHANKONG, DOHM			
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2152			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		•	01/29/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/750,475	NGUYEN, LYNH		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dohm Chankong	21,52		

		Domin Chankong		21,32	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sl	neet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE F	REPLY FILED 12 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CO	NDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	n the same day as fili wing replies: (1) an a otice of Appeal (with	ng a Notice of mendment, aff appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejec	tion.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS	from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		(b) WHEN THE	er into i rici er virio i	ICCO VITTING
have b under set for may re	tions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date leen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latered any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corres shortened statutory per r than three months aft	ponding amount iod for reply orig	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	<u>IDMENTS</u>		•		
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or s			ecause
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			at at a second of the trans	11
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal t	by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
	appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	correction number	or of finally roi	acted claims	
			o illially lej	ecteu ciaims.	
. \Box	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		dias of Non Co		(DTOL 224)
_	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		tice of Non-Co	impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 📙	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).				
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be enterovided below or appe	ed, or b) 📙 wi nded.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) objected to:				•
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-22.				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				•
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejectio	ns under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the	e claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. 🗵	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place th	e application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper	No(s)		//
13. 🗀	Other:		B	HE	X
				DB INDOENCHOR	TUAAMI
			DI 181 I		

BUNJOB JARDENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Guenthner does not teach dynamically detecting availability of the data source in response to a subsequent request for the resource. However, in the Office's view, Guenthner's disclosure that:

"the invention enforces a policy whereby a client retries entries...so long as the client is still making requests, of course. This policy enables the client to access servers that, while previously down or overloaded, are...otherwise available to handle the request." [column 9 «lines 29-35»]. To one of ordinary skill in the art, Guenthner clearly discloses that the client will retry entries as long as requests are still being sent to servers.

Applicant's arguments are therefore not persuasive and the claim rejections set forth in the previous action are maintained.